Table of Contents

Table of Contents i

Acknowledgements ii

Compliance Matrix iii

I. Executive Summary 1

II. SAFIR – Key Objectives 3

III. SAFIR Science Rationale 8

Distant Universe
Activity in Nearby Galaxies
Birth of Stars, Solar Systems, and Complex Molecules
Debris Disks and Signatures of Planets

IV. Relation of SAFIR to NASA Strategic Plans 46

V. SAFIR – Uniqueness of Proposed Approach 51

VI. Space Systems Architecture and Implementation Approach 54

VII. Thermal Architecture 76

VIII. Instrument Suite 89

IX. Integration and Test Plan 106

X. Observatory Deployment 116

XI. Mission Operations 123

XII. Mission Assurance 125

XIII. Safety 126

XIV. SAFIR and In-Space Operations 127

XV. Technology Status and Roadmap 137

Appendix A. SAFIR Team X Report

Appendix B. SAFIR Sensitivity

Appendix C. The DART System

The information contained in this report/CD/etc.
may be subject to Export or ITAR regulations.

Acknowledgements

The SAFIR Vision Mission team gratefully acknowledges the contributions of the following formal collaborators and partners in concept development, as well as explicit inputs to the final report.

Collaborators:

Eri Cohen, JPL
Jennifer Dooley, JPL
John Hong, JPL
Matt Greenhouse, GSFC
Matt Griffin, Cardiff
John Grunsfeld, JSC
Bob Menzies, JPL
Phil Stahl, MSFC
Brenda Ward, JSC

Industry Partners:

Ball Aerospace Corp.
Don Strecker, Jeff Van Cleve, Michael L. Kaplan, Steve Kendrick, Harold Reitsma

Boeing Corp.
Mike Kaplan, Tracey Espero, Ed Friedman, Jim Visentine

Lockheed Martin Corp.
Dominick Tenerelli, Bob Jones, Bill Reeve, Jason Tolomeo

Northrop Grumman Corp.
Chuck Lillie, Jon Arenberg, Charles Atkinson, Suzanne Casement, Martin Flannery, Ron Polidan

We also gratefully acknowledge important study inputs from the following members of the SAFIR science community and NASA engineering team – Lee Armus (Caltech), Mark Beckman (GSFC), Chas Beichman (JPL), Dale Cruikshank (ARC), Brian Derkowski (JSC), Jennifer Dooley (JPL), Larissa Graziani (GSFC), Matt Greenhouse (GSFC), Heidi Hammel (SSI), Martin Harwit (Cornell), Tim Hawarden (ROE), Marc Kuchner (Princeton), Marty Levine (JPL), Rud Moe (GSFC), Renu Malhotra (U Arizona), Amaya Moro-Martin (Princeton), John Pearson (JPL), Chris Paine (JPL), Keith Parrish (GSFC), Juan Roman (GSFC), Andrea Schweitzer (LTO), John Speed (JSC), Karl Stapelfeldt (U Arizona), Mike Werner (JPL), Harv Willenberg (Grey Research), Karen Willacy (JPL), Sebastian Wolf (MPIA), Eve Wooldridge (GSFC), Jonas Zmuidzinas (Caltech).

The Vision Mission study effort for SAFIR had substantial overlap (both in subjects and team members) with that for SPECS, as SAFIR is understood to have an important precursor role for that much more ambitious mission.

This work was supported by NASA Grant NNG04GJ63G under NASA NRA-03-OSS-01-VM. We appreciate the enthusiasm for our effort from many at NASA HQ, including Marc Allen, Harley Thronson, and Eric Smith.

Compliance Matrix

In the development of this study report we have, for reasons of organizational clarity for this particular mission, departed from the recommended outline. All required elements of the mission description are covered in our report, and the following compliance matrix provides pointers from the recommended template to the sections in the final document where relevant information is presented.

1. Science Rationale
   - a. key objectives ..... I, II, III
   - b. relation to NASA and OSS strategic plans IV
   - c. uniqueness or scientific advantages of the proposed approach compared to alternatives V

2. Architecture and Implementation Approach
   - a. space systems architecture ..... VI ,VII
   - b. science instrumentation VIII
   - c. infrastructure and constraints assumed in place for the time of implementation IX
   - d. role of humans XIV

3. Technology
   - a. unique requirements, their priority and sensitivity of the design to each XV
   - b. key technology risks and uncertainties, quantified where possible XV
   - c. development roadmap, with alternative approaches where applicable XV
   - d. validation and or demonstration approach ..... IX, XII

4. Deployment
   - a. transportation to operational location X
   - b. assembly (if applicable) or deployment ..... VI, XIV

5. Operations
   - a. space segment XI
   - b. communications XI
   - c. ground segment XI

6. Operations Assurance
   - a. system resilience (e.g. redundancy or adaptability) XII
   - b. maintenance or servicing (if applicable) XIV

7. Safety
   - a. launch and near-Earth operation XIII
   - b. planetary protection ..... (n/a)
   - c. end of mission safety issues (if applicable) ..... (n/a)